

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/910,128	07/23/2001	07/23/2001 James Stewart Campbell	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
057710,120			3342078-0004	1807
75	90 07/03/2003			()
Gerald O.S. O	yen			
OYEN WIGGS	OYEN WIGGS GREEN & MUTALA	EXAMI	EXAMINER WEIER, ANTHONY J	
#480 - The Station 601 West Cordova Street Vancouver, BC V6B 1G1				
			ART UNIT	
CANADA				PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AIR MAIL

		Application	No.	pplicant(s)			
	Office Audio	09/910,128	c	AMPBELL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	A	rt Unit			
		Anthony We	er 1	761 (/			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the co	ver sheet with the corr	espondence address			
- External formation of the second of the se	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after it patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory ry period will apply and will explored the application.	owever, may a reply be timely f minimum of thirty (30) days will ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the r	filed I be considered timely. mailing date of this communication.			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>13 June 2003</u> .					
2a)		oxtimes This action is nor	-final.				
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	r allowance except for under <i>Ex part</i> e Quay	formal matters, prose e, 1935 C.D. 11, 453	ecution as to the merits is O.G. 213.			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the app	lication.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,5,7,9,11,13</u>	and 15-21 is/are witho	rawn from considerat	ion.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) <u>6,<i>8,10,12 and 14</i> is/are reject</u> e	d.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requi	rement.				
pplication	on Papers	•					
	he specification is objected to by the Ex						
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[] accepted or b) obje	cted to by the Examine	er.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See 37	7 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)□ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ appro	ved b)□ disapproved	by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	d in reply to this Office a	iction.				
	he oath or declaration is objected to by	he Examiner.					
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	oreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).			
a)[All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1	Certified copies of the priority doc	ıments have been red	eived.				
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation the the attached detailed Office action for	e priority documents hal Bureau (PCT Rule	nave been received in				
14)∐ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to	a provisional application)			
a)	The translation of the foreign languarish the translation of the foreign languarish the translation for do	ge provisional applica	tion has been received	d			
achment(s		·	30				
Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) [Interview Summary (PTC Notice of Informal Patent	0-413) Paper No(s)			

Application/Control Number: 09/910,128 Page 2
Art Unit: 1761

1. Applicant's election of Group I in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

- 2. It should be further noted that upon further consideration of the claims, claims 16, 19, and 21 were erroneously classified by the examiner as being method claims (see Paper No. 6, mailed 4/3/03). As set forth, it appears that these claims are actually product claims and have now been included with the withdrawn product claims.
- 3. Claims 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 are confusing in that same are dependent on method claims, yet the preamble sets forth a product. Since independent product claims have been set forth employing a conventional claim structure (e.g. instant claim 4), it would appear that claims 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 were intended to be dependent method claims. Same have been treated as method claims.

- 4. Claims 1-3 are allowed.
- 5. Considering the prior art of record, it is not disclosed nor taught to produce a powdered egg in the specific manner called for in instant claims 1-3. As such, it would not have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have arrived at such claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,128 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

6. Claims 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action¹ and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Weier whose telephone number is 703-308-3846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Anthony Weler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

Anthony Weier June 30, 2003

¹ This applies in the event that these claims were intended to be method claims. If same are considered product claims, these would be subject to being withdrawn as are all Group II claims, no longer necessarily allowable since they have not been searched as product claims per se.